



July 9, 2008

Dirigo Health Agency  
Attn: [Ruth.A.Burke@maine.gov](mailto:Ruth.A.Burke@maine.gov)  
Dirigo Health Agency  
53 State House Station  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0053

In Re: Determination of Aggregate Measurable Cost Savings  
For The Fourth Assessment Year (2009)

**FILING COVERSHEET**

Dear Ms. Burke:

Enclosed for filing please find the following:

SUBMITTED BY: Christopher T. Roach  
DATE: July 9, 2008  
DOCUMENT TITLE: Non-Confidential Version of Prefiled Testimony of  
Vincent Liscomb Jr.  
DOCUMENT TYPE: Prefiled Testimony  
CONFIDENTIAL: **NO**

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Christopher T. Roach

**Christopher T. Roach**

One Monument Square  
Portland, ME 04101

207-791-1373 voice  
207-791-1350 fax  
[croach@pierceatwood.com](mailto:croach@pierceatwood.com)  
pierceatwood.com

# NON-CONFIDENTIAL

---

---

STATE OF MAINE  
DIRIGO HEALTH AGENCY

IN RE: ) EXHIBIT 2  
)  
)  
DETERMINATION OF AGGREGATE )  
MEASURABLE COST SAVINGS FOR ) PREFILED TESTIMONY OF  
THE FOURTH ASSESSMENT YEAR ) VINCENT LISCOMB JR.  
(2009) )  
)  
**Docket No.** ) July 9, 2008  
)  
)

NON-CONFIDENTIAL

---

---

1 **Q. Please state your name and your position with Anthem Health Plans of**  
2 **Maine, Inc., d/b/a Anthem Blue Cross and Blue Shield (“Anthem BCBS”).**

3 A. My name is Vincent Liscomb Jr. and I am Executive Director of Provider  
4 Network Management.

5

6 **Q. Please describe any relevant experience that qualifies you as a witness in this**  
7 **proceeding.**

8 A. I have worked in the health care industry for nineteen years in a variety of  
9 positions, with a primary focus on hospital and physician contracting and provider  
10 network management. For the last four years, I have been employed by WellPoint, first  
11 as Regional Vice President of Network Development and Management at Blue Cross  
12 Blue Shield of Georgia, and more recently as Executive Director of Provider Network  
13 Management for Anthem BCBS.  
14 Dan McCormack, Thomas Drottar and Amy Cheslock held this position in years past and  
15 provided testimony at previous hearings.

16

17 **Q. Have you reviewed the methodology that has been proposed by the**  
18 **Dirigo Health Agency (“DHA”) for the fourth assessment year?**

19 A. I have reviewed the report from DHA’s consultant, schramm raleigh  
20 Health Strategy (“srHS”), summarizing the methodologies that srHS proposes  
21 should be used for calculation of aggregate measurable cost savings in the fourth  
22 assessment year (the “SrHS Report”).

23

24 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

25 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain: (1) the mechanics of hospital and  
26 physician contracting in Maine; (2) how our process at Anthem BCBS has changed since  
27 the implementation of the Dirigo legislation; (3) the extent to which we investigate  
28 whether our network of providers have experienced savings as a result of Dirigo, and (4)

1 the process by which hospitals often shift costs from governmental payors to the  
2 commercially insured population.

3  
4 **Q. Please explain the mechanics of hospital contracting.**

5 A. Anthem BCBS's provider contracting department negotiates with hospitals and  
6 other providers to ensure that Anthem BCBS is getting the best possible rates for the  
7 services that the hospitals provide to our members. We generally begin contract  
8 discussions with providers several months in advance of a renewal. The process includes  
9 both an internal detailed review of relevant financial information and extensive external  
10 discussions with providers regarding their financial situation. The rate that the hospital is  
11 willing to negotiate is made up of many factors, one of which is the cost of the services  
12 the provider performs. If there are reductions in the provider's costs in any particular  
13 year, if all else is equal and the provider is willing and able to pass those cost reductions  
14 on in the form of a lower contract rate, Anthem BCBS's costs for that particular provider  
15 will also be reduced.

16 Provider Network Management has the same goal with respect to contracts today  
17 as we did before the Dirigo legislation passed. That goal is to secure the best  
18 possible rates for our members in each negotiation. In addition to working for the  
19 best possible rates, our negotiation protocol includes a requirement that hospitals  
20 and physician groups tell us the applicable group's bad debt and charity care  
21 costs, the extent of any savings as a result of the operation of Dirigo Health, and  
22 whether or not the hospital or provider group is passing on the full extent of those  
23 savings in the group's contract rates.

24  
25 **Q. Has the Superintendent determined that Anthem BCBS used best efforts to**  
26 **recover savings in prior assessment years?**

27 A. Yes. The Superintendent has repeatedly found that Anthem BCBS used  
28 best efforts to recover the savings and that all savings attributable to Dirigo were  
29 embedded in the premium rates proposed and charged by Anthem BCBS.  
30 Accordingly, the Superintendent has authorized Anthem BCBS to include the full

1 savings offset payment (“SOP”) amount in member rates. *See, e.g., In re Anthem*  
2 *Blue Cross and Blue Shield 2006 Individual Rate Filing for HealthChoice and*  
3 *HealthChoice Standard and Basic Products*, Docket No. INS-05-820, Decision  
4 and Order issued December 19, 2005, p.10 (“[Mr. McCormack] testified that he  
5 was confident that the current contracts with health care providers were the best  
6 contracts that Anthem could secure and that embedded in those contract rates  
7 were the savings attributable to Dirigo. Furthermore, Mr. Whitmore [Anthem  
8 BCBS’s actuary] testified these savings attributable to Dirigo had been  
9 incorporated into the filed rates. The Superintendent concludes that Anthem has  
10 made best efforts to ensure recovery of the savings offset payment through  
11 negotiated reimbursement rates with health care providers that reflect the health  
12 care providers’ savings as a result of Dirigo health care initiatives.”).

13

14 **Q. Has there been any change in the fourth measuring period?**

15 A. No. There has been no change in the contracting philosophy or practice in the  
16 fourth measuring period. Our team of negotiators continues to use best efforts to recover  
17 in contracted rates any cost savings as a result of the operation of Dirigo Health.

18

19 **Q. Please explain the basic process whereby hospitals or other provider groups**  
20 **get paid for their services by Anthem BCBS?**

21 A. Discount from charge is the most prevalent methodology of payment for  
22 hospitals. This payment mechanism involves the provider billing a dollar charge for a  
23 particular service on a claim. If the service is a covered service under the member’s  
24 certificate of coverage, and the service was authorized as medically necessary, the claims  
25 system applies the negotiated discount from charge to the claim. The resulting amount is  
26 the “allowed” amount, which reflects the total amount the hospital expects to recoup for  
27 the service through payments by Anthem BCBS (and/or the member through cost  
28 sharing). The other primary arrangement is fixed pricing. Under this methodology,  
29 Anthem BCBS pays a fixed price for a service or bundle of services provided  
30 to our members. The fixed price, except for some outlier provisions, which are used to

1 compensate the hospitals for unusually costly cases, is the “allowed” price regardless of  
2 the charge.

3

4 **Q. Are all hospital payments made by private payors, like private**  
5 **insurance companies?**

6 A. No. There is a substantial portion of revenue at Maine hospitals that is  
7 derived from governmental payors. At many rural hospitals, this amount may  
8 exceed 70% of total revenue.

9

10 **Q. If a large percentage of hospital revenues are derived from**  
11 **governmental – as opposed to private payor – sources, does that fact have**  
12 **implications on the way in which the aggregate measurable cost savings**  
13 **calculation is used as one cap in the determination of the savings offset**  
14 **payment?**

15 A. Yes, it has significant implications. Private payors and their members pay  
16 the savings offset payment, which is derived, in part, from the calculation of  
17 aggregate measurable savings. The SOP is supposed to be an offset to savings  
18 that have accrued to the benefit of those same private payors. If the aggregate  
19 measurable savings calculation calculates 100% of the “savings”, but does not  
20 take into consideration that a significant portion of those “savings” go to  
21 governmental (not private) payors, the private payors will pay an amount of SOP  
22 that is greatly exaggerated relative to the calculated savings that actually could  
23 have accrued to the benefit of those private payers. This is obviously inequitable  
24 and results in private payors subsidizing the savings that have accrued to  
25 governmental payors.

26

27 **Q. Since the arrival of Dirigo, has Anthem BCBS seen reductions in costs below**  
28 **Board-approved rates at hospitals?**

1 A. We have seen reductions in costs at some hospitals for explicit periods of time.  
2 For the most part, however, we have not seen reductions but only some slowing of cost  
3 increases. In some instances—outpatient costs for example—costs in Maine are actually  
4 skyrocketing. For example, the Kaiser Family Foundation tracks outpatient utilization in  
5 all 50 states. Maine saw a 31% increase in outpatient utilization between 1999-2006,  
6 while the national average was 9% during the same period ([www.statehealthfacts.org](http://www.statehealthfacts.org)).

7  
8 **Q. Have hospitals stated that these cost reductions are the result of Dirigo?**

9 A. There have been limited circumstances where hospitals have stated that a  
10 reduction in costs was the result of a commitment to manage to the stated cap on  
11 operating margin as detailed in the Dirigo legislation. However, in the aggregate,  
12 hospital costs have continued to increase during the fourth assessment year.

13  
14 **Q. Have hospitals and provider groups assured you that the full extent of**  
15 **Dirigo-related cost reductions, whatever they may be, are embedded in the**  
16 **negotiated contract rates?**

17 A. Yes. Providers have assured us that, to the extent Dirigo led to any reduction in  
18 their costs, those cost reductions are included fully in the negotiated contract rates we  
19 pay. For the most part, however, those providers have been unable to give specific  
20 accountings of “savings” due to Dirigo.

21  
22 **Q. What has been happening to the rates paid to providers in Maine by Anthem**  
23 **BCBS?**

24 A. The rates paid to providers continue to increase in absolute terms. The rate of  
25 increase (*i.e.*, the trend), however, while institution-specific, is about in line with the  
26 trend for previous years. Overall, health care costs in Maine remain very high, especially  
27 when compared to other states. For example, Anthem Blue Cross and Blue Shield’s  
28 provider costs in Maine are approximately 10% higher than those in our neighboring state  
29 of New Hampshire, and 30% higher than those in Connecticut.

30

1 **Q. Based on your negotiations with providers, can you identify and quantify the**  
2 **total amount of the reduction in trend that is the result of Dirigo?**

3 A. No. As I stated previously, providers have been unable to give us accountings of  
4 the specific reductions in costs that are attributable to Dirigo. Like the providers, we  
5 cannot isolate Dirigo from other factors that influence the reduction in trend.

6

7 **Q. If you know, what factors might affect a provider's costs in any given year?**

8 A. Anthem witnesses Sharon Roberts and Vincent Maffei testified concerning the  
9 affects of employment growth and hospital operating margins. In addition to these  
10 factors, in my experience, hospital costs fluctuate annually due in part to volume changes.  
11 Changes in volume affect unit costs to an extent that is related to the size of the volume  
12 changes. Maine has many relatively small hospitals and so is particularly susceptible to  
13 changes in volume. Also, changes in the type of care provided (*i.e.*, utilization trends,  
14 acuity or mix of services) by a hospital will affect costs. Changes in the supplies or  
15 technology needed to deliver care are another cost driver. Should a new treatment  
16 require costly technology to administer, hospitals will experience significant changes in  
17 their cost structures. This is also true for changes in supplies, pharmaceuticals or  
18 overhead. Cost shifting by hospitals from governmental payors to commercial payors,  
19 discussed more fully below, can also affect costs.

20

21 **Q. Does srHS's CMAD methodology for the fourth assessment year adequately**  
22 **control for these non-Dirigo factors that affect cost growth in Maine?**

23 A. Mr. Maffei explains the details in his testimony, but in short, srHS's  
24 methodology does not reasonably measure cost savings that are attributable to  
25 Dirigo in part because it does not take into consideration several non-Dirigo  
26 factors that drive hospital costs in Maine.

27

28 **Q. Does cost shifting influence the difference between a hospital's cost increases**  
29 **and their charge increases?**

30 A. Yes. Cost shifting is one of the leading drivers for rate increases in our  
31 negotiations with hospitals and physicians. While MaineCare enrollment has increased in



1 recent years, the overall number of uninsured Mainers has remained relatively constant.  
2 For hospitals, it is reasonable to infer that even though the number of uninsured has not  
3 changed, their net payments may be reduced to the extent they are trading higher  
4 commercial payment rates for lower MaineCare reimbursement. Maine hospitals derive a  
5 substantial portion of revenue from governmental payors. This so-called payor-mix adds  
6 additional pressure on the remaining commercially insured population as hospitals must  
7 cost shift more to offset the reduction in net payments. That is, providers increase the  
8 rates they charge to private payors in order to make up for the smaller payments they  
9 receive from governmental payors which do not cover the full cost of the services  
10 provided.

11

12 **Q. Has Maine’s hospitals’ need to cost shift increased as a result of reductions in**  
13 **MaineCare reimbursement?**

14 A. Absolutely. MaineCare and other governmental programs reimburse at less than  
15 100% of the cost of the services provided by the hospital. Accordingly, when a hospital  
16 treats governmental payors (*e.g.*, those covered by MaineCare), there are only two  
17 choices for the hospital: (1) absorb the losses associated with providing services that are  
18 reimbursed at less than 100%; or (2) cost shift the difference to those covered by private  
19 insurance. As explained more fully in Ms. Roberts’s testimony, either of these options  
20 can place the hospital in a worse (not better) position to pass along “savings” that  
21 purportedly result from increased revenue from those covered by MaineCare. In fact, in  
22 last year’s proceeding, the Acting Superintendent determined DHA’s and its consultants’  
23 failure to account for MaineCare reimbursement cuts to be a significant factor in his  
24 decision to trim the \$70.6 million in CMAD savings approved by the Board to \$25  
25 million. *In re Review of Aggregate Measurable Cost Savings Determined by Dirigo*  
26 *Health for the Third Assessment Year*, Docket No. INS-07-900, Decision and Order  
27 issued September 17, 2007.

28

29 **Q. Does srHS’s CMAD methodology for the fourth assessment year account for**  
30 **cost shifting from governmental to private payors?**

1 A. No. In fact, srHS states in its Report that no cost shift occurred during the fourth  
2 assessment year or any other Dirigo time period, and thus no adjustment to the CMAD  
3 savings figure was necessary. srHS Report at 14-15.

4  
5 **Q. Does this suggestion—that no cost shift occurred—make sense?**

6 A. No, this suggestion makes no sense mathematically. MaineCare’s reduced  
7 reimbursement shifts costs from governmental payors to the commercially insured  
8 population in absolute terms. That is, providers increase rates they charge to private  
9 payors in order to make up for the smaller payments they receive from governmental  
10 payors which do not cover the full cost of services provided.

11

12 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

13 A. Yes.

**Certificate of Service**

I, Christopher T. Roach, Esq. certify that the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Vincent Liscomb Jr. was served this day upon the following parties via Electronic Mail.

Dirigo Health Agency  
Attn: Ruth Ann Burke  
211 Water Street  
Augusta, Maine 04333-0053

William Laubenstein, Esquire  
Office of the Attorney General  
6 State House Station  
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

Michael Colleran, Esquire  
Office of the Attorney General  
6 State House Station  
Augusta, ME 04333-0006

William Stiles, Esquire  
Verrill Dana LLP  
One Portland Square  
P.O. Box 586  
Portland, ME 04112-0586

Bruce Gerrity, Esquire  
Preti, Flaherty, Beliveau, Pachios & Haley LLP  
45 Memorial Circle  
P.O. Box 1058  
Augusta, ME 04332-1058

D. Michael Frink, Esquire  
Curtis Thaxter Stevens Broder & Micoleau LLC  
One Canal Plaza  
P.O. Box 7320  
Portland, ME 04112-7320

Mia Poliquin Pross, Esquire  
Consumers for Affordable Healthcare  
P.O. Box 2490  
Augusta, ME 04338-2490

Dated: July 9, 2008

/s/ Christopher T. Roach

Christopher T. Roach, Esq.

Lucus A. Ritchie, Esq.

PIERCE ATWOOD, LLP

One Monument Square

Portland, ME 04101

(207) 791-1100

*Attorneys for Intervenor*

*Anthem Health Plans of Maine, Inc.*